

GILBERT & FOX
An association and partnership

Roger J. Gilbert
W. Andrew Fox

700 CHAMBERS Street • Suite 100
401 S Gay Street
Knoxville, Tennessee 37901
(865) 524-1000
(865) 524-1001

Markie A. Jones
(865) 524-1002

July 27, 2010

Charles W. Swanson, Esq.
Law Director, City of Knoxville
400 Main Street, Box 699
Knoxville, TN 37902
Fax: 865-215-2840

Re: Kenny Beaman; Bert Brady; David B. Hamilton, Esq.; John Marshall; Bob McCollough;
Charles

Please be advised that the persons listed above own real property within the city limits of Knoxville. For various reasons, they oppose the current Knoxville ordinance. They contacted with me about their concerns and the impact that未来Knoxville would have on them. At least the expressed concerns, inter alia, over the permitted use of group houses in traditionally residential areas with the exception of the Specified Title area. Some expressed concern over changes in the zoning of their business entity, which would impact the future sale value of their land.

Regarding the individuals who are concerned about their business property, they are currently zoned C-4, and under未来Knoxville would be rezoned to (M-1). The consequence of this rezoning is that their current, permitted use would become a nonconforming use. These individuals have sought to communicate their concerns to the city, mostly to no avail. Those who have had some communication have been provided a grandfathering for their current use. But, the value of their real property in the market nevertheless will be affected, because the restrictions on the properties are conducive to the currently permitted use and will have diminished value to a potential future buyer, unless the potential buyer can continue with the current use. The city has given no assurance to these individuals that the grandfathering of their current permitted use would be passed on to a potential future buyer.

Sharing my observations with these individuals, I advised them of possible negative. It is my opinion that the Knoxville Mayor and City Council will be acting ultra vires if the City Council consents with the adoption of the未来Knoxville ordinance, and thus the ordinance would be declared void. Consequently, it is my belief that they can undertake open adoption of未来Knoxville is a declaratory action, ruling that the ordinance be declared void.

The basis for my opinion relies out of the provision for amendment of the One Year Plan requirement, detailed in Article VII, §801 of the City of Knoxville Charter. As you know, the Charter requires the Mayor to direct the planning commission to develop, fine, and con-